



## SEASONAL INCIDENCE OF WHITEFLY *BEMISIA TABACI* (GENN.) ON MUNGBEAN

NADEYA KHALIQ\*, UMA SHANKAR AND BASHIR AHMAD RATHER

Division of Entomology, FoA SKUAST-J Chatha, Jammu 180009, UT of J&K, India

\*Email: nadeya786@gmail.com (corresponding author)

### ABSTRACT

This study on the seasonal incidence of whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) on mungbean was done during kharif, 2014. Weekly observations on incidence were made on five randomly selected tagged plants. The results revealed that the first incidence was observed during 22<sup>nd</sup> standard meteorological week (SMW)- 66.67 whitefly/ plant. This reached at its peak (89.67 whitefly/ plant) during the 34<sup>th</sup> SMW. Correlation coefficients between incidence and weather factors revealed that maximum temperature had a positive relationship ( $r = 0.51$ ) while the minimum temperature and relative humidity- RH (morning) revealed a negative one ( $r = -0.03$  and  $r = -0.52$ ); and RH (evening) and rainfall showed a highly significant but negative correlation.

**Key words:** *Bemisia tabaci*, mungbean, seasonal incidence, correlation coefficients, weather factors, maximum temperature, relative humidity, population dynamics

Legumes occupy an important place in human nutrition as these are a good source of protein (Kutos et al., 2002). Amongst the kharif pulses, mungbean *Vigna radiata* (L.) R. Wilczek is a major pulse crop and India is the leading producer (Singh Ahlawat, 2005). The losses due to insects and non-insect pests to pulses are of the major factors responsible for low yields (Lal et al., 1980). In Jammu subtropics, 38 insect pests occur on this crop out of which 22 are regular (Tikoo, 1996). The loss due to insect pests in mungbean was estimated to be 34.7% (Asthana et al., 1997). The economically important pests include whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius), aphid *Aphis craccivora* Koch, pod borer, *Maruca testulalis* Geyer, Bihar hairy caterpillar, and *Spilosoma obliqua* Walker. Among these *B. tabaci* is important as it causes damage directly through feeding and indirectly through the transmission of plant pathogenic viruses (Oliveira et al., 2001). The weather factors play a key role in determining the incidence and dominance of a pest or a pest complex (Butani, 1976). Hence, it is necessary to study the population dynamics in relation to weather factors, and this study evaluates the seasonal incidence of *B. tabaci* in mungbean.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at the Research field, Division of Entomology, Main Campus, Chatha, Jammu during kharif 2014. The seeds were sown in plots of size of 3x 2 m with row to row and plant to plant spacing of 30 and 10 cm, respectively, without manures and fertilizer. The experiment was laid out in

randomized block design (RBD) with three replications, and observations made weekly on randomly tagged 5 plant; these were made during morning hours from 2 upper, 2 middle and 2 lower leaves and mean incidence was calculated. These observations were correlated with weather factors- with weekly data on mean temperature (maximum/ minimum °C), mean relative humidity- RH (morning and evening %), and rainfall (mm) obtained from the Agrometeorological Section, Division of Agronomy, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu. These data were subjected to correlation analysis.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean seasonal incidence of *B. tabaci* observed on the mungbean is depicted in Fig. 1. These data reveal that first incidence was during the 22<sup>nd</sup> standard meteorological week (SMW) (66.67 whitefly/ plant); this increased to 76.67 whitefly/ plant during the 23<sup>rd</sup> SMW, and then declined to 30.33 whitefly/ plant during the 33<sup>rd</sup> SMW; then increased again and reached to the maximum of 89.67 whitefly/ plant during 34<sup>th</sup> SMW at harvest. These results agreement with those of earlier workers (Chaman et al., 2021; Patel et al., 2021). Dar et al. (2002) reported peak incidence during the 25<sup>th</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> SMW on urd bean and mungbean, respectively. Kumar et al. (2004) also reported such changes. Correlation coefficients between weather factors and incidence revealed that maximum temperature had a positive relationship ( $r = 0.511$ ) while as minimum temperature and mean RH (morning) showed a negative




Fig. 1. Seasonal incidence of *B. tabaci* whitefly on mungbean

one ( $r = -0.039$  and  $-0.524$ , respectively); similarly RH (evening) also showed a significantly negative relationship ( $r = -0.568$ ), while rainfall showed a highly significant negative relationship ( $r = -0.865$ ). Chaman et al. (2021) observed that temperature exhibited a significantly positive correlation while RH and rainfall did not show any significant correlations Patel et al. (2021) also recorded a significantly positive correlation with maximum temperature and sunshine hours. The regression equation ( $Y = 35.522 - 0.098X_1 + 0.219X_2 + 1.054X_3 - 0.864X_4 - 0.347X_5$ , where,  $Y =$  mean whitefly/plant,  $X_1 =$  maximum temperature,  $X_2 =$  minimum temperature,  $X_3 =$  RH % morning,  $X_4 =$  RH% evening and  $X_5 =$  rainfall) revealed a significant effect (86.30%) of weather factors on incidence of *B. tabaci*. These results corroborate with the findings of Yadav and Singh (2013) on a positive correlation with temperature and sunshine hours, and a negative one with RH. Bashir et al. (2001) observed that rainfall was negatively correlated; Bairwa and Singh (2017) also reported a negatively non-significant correlation between rainfall. Singh and Kumar (2011) reported that minimum temperature and RH had non-significant positive correlation, whereas maximum temperature and rainfall had a non-significant negative one in black gram.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks the Head, Department of Entomology, Sheri-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Jammu (SKUAST-J)

for extending research facilities; and Chief Central Librarian, IARI, Pusa, New Delhi, for providing access to library journals and e-resources.

#### REFERENCES

- Asthana A N, Lal S S, Dhar V. 1997. Current problems in pulse crops and future needs. Proceedings. National seminar on plant protection towards sustainability. Plant Protection Association of India, Hyderabad. p. 3.
- Bashir M H, Afzal M, Sabir M A, Raza A B M. 2001. Relationship between sucking insect pests and physio-morphic plant characters towards resistant/susceptibility in some new genotypes of cotton. Pakistan Entomology 23(1-2): 75-78.
- Bairwa B, Singh P S. 2017. Population dynamics of major insect pests of green gram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) in relation to abiotic factors in genetic plains. The Bioscan- An International Quarterly Journal 12(3): 1371-1373.
- Butani D K. 1976. Pest and diseases of chillies and their control. Pesticides 10: 38-41.
- Chaman K, Pankaj K, Vikas, Amrendra K. 2021. Population dynamics of whitefly of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) during summer 2018. The Pharma Innovation Journal 10(10): 1121-1122.
- Dar M H, Rizvi P Q, Naqvi N A. 2002. Insect pest complex and its succession on mungbean and urd bean. Indian Journal of Pulses Research 15 (2): 204.
- Kumar R, Rizvi S M A, Shamshad A. 2004. Seasonal and varietal variation in the population of whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Genn.) and incidence of yellow mosaic virus in urd and mungbean. Indian Journal of Entomology 66: 155-58.
- Kutos T, Golob T, Kac M, Plestenjak A. 2002. Dietary fiber of dry processed beans. Food Chemistry 80: 231-235.
- Lal S S, Dias C A R, Yadava C P, Singh D N. 1980. Effect of sowing dates on the infestation of *Heliothis armigera* (Hub.) and yield. International Chickpea Newsletter 3: 14-15.

- Oliveira M R V, Henneberry T J, Anderson P. 2001. History, current status, and collaborative research projects for *Bemisia tabaci*. *Crop Protection* 20: 709-723.
- Patel R, Marabi R S, Nayak M K, Tomar D S, Srivastava A K. 2021. Population dynamics of major sucking insect pests of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] in relation to weather parameters. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 9(2): 324-328.
- Singh Ahlawat I P S. 2005. Green gram and black gram improvement in India: past, present and future prospects. *Indian Journal of Agriculture Science* 75: 243-250.
- Singh D C, Kumar P. 2011. Population dynamics and management of *Bemisia tabaci* in urd bean. *Annals of Plant Protection Sciences* 19(1): 203-260.
- Tikko R K. 1996. Distribution and management of insect pests of green gram and black gram with special reference to life table studies of *Spilosoma obliqua* Walker. M Sc Thesis, SKUAST. pp. 47-59.
- Yadav N K, Singh P S. 2013. Seasonal abundance of insect pests on mung bean and its correlation. *Journal of Entomological Research* 37(4): 297-299.

(Manuscript Received: July, 2021; Revised: November, 2021;  
Accepted: November, 2021; Online Published: February, 2022)  
Online published (Preview) in [www.entosocindia.org](http://www.entosocindia.org) Ref. No. e21205