



EFFICACY OF CHLORFENAPYR 24%SC AGAINST THE PIGEON PEA POD BORER *HELICOVERPA ARMIGERA* (HUBNER)

S S KARABHANTANAL* AND SAICHARAN DHARAVATH¹

All India Coordinated Sorghum Improvement Project,

Regional Agricultural Research Station, Vijayapur 586101, Karnataka, India

¹Department of Agricultural Entomology, UAS Dharwad, College of Agriculture,
Vijayapur 586101, Karnataka, India

*Email: sskarabu@gmail.com (corresponding author)

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out on pigeon pea for the management of pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) during kharif 2016-17 and 2017-18 at the Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Vijayapura, Karnataka. The pooled data indicate that chlorfenapyr 24%SC @ 288 g ai/ ha (1200 ml/ ha) lead to 0.10 larvae/ plant and 70.72% reduction over control, which was on par with that of chlorantraniliprole 18.50SC @ 20 g ai/ ha (200 ml/ ha) with 89.35% reduction. Chlorfenapyr 24%SC @ 288 g ai/ ha (9.19% and 11.58 q/ ha) and chlorantraniliprole 18.50SC @ 20 g ai/ ha (7.34% and 12.25 q/ ha) were on par with each other in respect of pod damage and grain yield.

Key words: *Helicoverpa armigera*, pigeon pea, insecticides, efficacy, chlorfenapyr, chlorantraniliprole, deltamethrin, monocrotophos, pod damage, yield

Pigeon pea *Cajanus cajan* (L) Millspaugh is one of the widely consumed pulse crops of tropical and subtropical environments and is cultivated on almost 4 million ha worldwide. In Karnataka, it is cultivated in 1.52 million ha with a production of 0.98 mt (Anon, 2019), with a low productivity. Among biotic factors, more than 200 species of insects live and feed on pigeonpea and affect its productivity. Among these, pod borers are the major pests and reduce the yield up to 27.77% (Sahoo and Senapati, 2000). Pigeon pea pod borer *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) is the most destructive of these. The pyrrole insecticide, chlorfenapyr is a new compound which was initially registered in USA for the management of cockroaches, termites and ants. It is in high demand against many field pests to protect vegetable crops like cabbage, chillies and also cotton. The present study evaluates the efficacy of chlorfenapyr 24%SC against *H. armigera* in pigeonpea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at the Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Vijayapura, Karnataka, India during kharif 2016-17 and 2017-18 on pigeonpea (TS 3R variety) with a plot size of 5.4x 3.6 m following the spacing of 90 x 30 cm. Eight treatments viz., T₁ (chlorfenapyr 24%SC @ 144 g ai/ ha), T₂ (chlorfenapyr 24%SC @ 192 g ai/ ha), T₃

(chlorfenapyr 24% SC @ 240 g ai/ ha), T₄ (chlorfenapyr 24%SC @ 288 g ai/ ha), T₅ (deltamethrin 2.8%SC @ 11.0 g ai/ ha), T₆ (monocrotophos 36%SL @ 25.0 g ai/ ha), T₇ (chlorantraniliprole 18.50SC @ 20 g ai/ ha), and untreated control (T₈) were evaluated with three replications in randomized block design. T₇ (chlorantraniliprole 18.50SC @ 20 g ai/ ha) was taken as standard check. First spray of insecticidal treatment was given as and when pest crosses economic threshold level of 1.0 larvae/ plant, and subsequent sprays at 15 days intervals. Observations on *H. armigera* larvae were made from five randomly selected plants/ treatment at one day before (precount) and three, five, seven and ten DAT. The data were converted into % mortality by using the formula given by Abbott (1925) and were subjected to statistical analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pooled data of two years reveal that the incidence of larva of *H. armigera* at 10 days after treatment (DAT) was significantly reduced with chlorfenapyr 24%SC @ 288 g ai/ ha (0.10 larvae/ plant- 70.72% reduction); it was on par with standard check i.e. chlorantraniliprole 18.50SC @ 20 g ai/ ha, with no incidence and 89.35% reduction. The next effective ones were chlorfenapyr 24%SC @ 240 g ai/ ha < deltamethrin 2.8%SC @ 11.0 g ai/ ha < monocrotophos 36%SL @ 25.0 g ai/ ha < chlorfenapyr 24%SC @ 192 g ai/ ha < chlorfenapyr

Table 1. Efficacy of chlorfenapyr 24%SC against *H. armigera* in pigeon pea

Treatment	Dosage/ ha g.a.i/ha	Formulation (ml/ha)	Pre-treat		3 DAA		5 DAA		7 DAA		10 DAA		Pod damage (%)	Yield (q/ ha)
			No. of larvae/ plant	% larval reduction	No. of larvae/ plant	% larval reduction	No. of larvae/ plant	% larval reduction	No. of larvae/ plant	% larval reduction	No. of larvae/ plant	% larval reduction		
T ₁ : Chlorfenapyr 24%SC	144	600	1.32 (1.35)	2.07 (1.60)	1.05 (1.24)	18.62 (25.56)	0.94 (1.20)	31.10 (33.89)	0.78 (1.13)	39.91 (39.18)	0.82 (1.15)	41.27 (39.97)	14.00 (21.97)	8.85
T ₂ : Chlorfenapyr 24%SC	192	800	0.99 (1.22)	1.74 (1.49)	0.74 (1.11)	19.75 (26.39)	0.54 (1.02)	35.89 (36.81)	0.44 (0.97)	45.19 (42.24)	0.39 (0.94)	47.84 (43.76)	11.95 (20.22)	10.03
T ₃ : Chlorfenapyr 24%SC	240	1000	0.60 (1.05)	1.52 (1.42)	0.37 (0.93)	33.87 (35.59)	0.28 (0.88)	42.80 (40.86)	0.22 (0.85)	56.36 (48.65)	0.19 (0.83)	63.61 (52.90)	10.50 (18.90)	11.15
T ₄ : Chlorfenapyr 24%SC	288	1200	0.44 (0.97)	1.37 (1.37)	0.30 (0.89)	37.98 (38.04)	0.20 (0.84)	52.47 (46.41)	0.15 (0.81)	60.19 (50.88)	0.10 (0.77)	70.72 (57.24)	9.19 (17.65)	11.58
T ₅ : Deltamethrin 2.8%SC	11.0	220	0.65 (1.07)	1.32 (1.35)	0.40 (0.95)	25.18 (30.12)	0.27 (0.88)	40.31 (39.41)	0.24 (0.86)	40.31 (39.41)	0.19 (0.83)	54.75 (47.73)	10.31 (18.72)	10.75
T ₆ : Monocrotophos 36%SL	25.0	500	0.85 (1.16)	1.74 (1.49)	0.60 (1.05)	30.33 (33.42)	0.37 (0.93)	41.23 (39.95)	0.27 (0.87)	53.02 (46.73)	0.25 (0.87)	54.98 (47.86)	11.03 (19.39)	10.23
T ₇ : Chlorantraniliprole 18.50SC	20	200	0.14 (0.80)	1.17 (1.29)	0.04 (0.73)	46.31 (42.88)	0.04 (0.73)	72.15 (58.15)	0.04 (0.73)	86.01 (68.03)	0.00 (0.71)	89.35 (70.96)	7.34 (15.71)	12.25
T ₈ : Untreated control	-	-	3.45 (1.99)	3.17 (1.92)	3.54 (2.01)	0.00 (0.00)	3.50 (2.00)	0.00 (0.00)	3.44 (1.98)	0.00 (0.00)	3.32 (1.95)	0.00 (0.00)	25.59 (30.39)	7.48
CD (p=0.05)			0.15	0.09	0.11	4.93	0.06	5.07	0.06	4.55	0.06	5.29	1.97	0.83
S.E.m±			0.05	0.03	0.04	1.64	0.02	1.69	0.02	1.52	0.02	1.76	0.65	0.27
CV (%)			17.25	11.52	11.38	17.63	10.67	18.05	10.97	16.03	10.64	18.66	12.66	15.36

DAA: Days after application; * Values in the parentheses square root (x+0.5) values

24%SC @ 144 g ai/ ha (Table 1). These results are in line with those of Liu et al. (2002) with the cabbage looper *Trichoplusia ni* Hubner using chlorfenapyr. Darabian and Yarahmadi (2017) obtained significant control of reduction of sugar beet armyworm with chlorfenapyr 24%EC after 10 days of application. Ngufor et al. (2016) also observed 63% mortality of *Anopheles gambiae* from the Cove hut site in WHO resistance bioassays when treated with chlorfenafyr. Patel et al. (2016) obtained significant results with cchlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC on tomato. The pooled data revealed that chlorfenapyr 24%SC @ 288 g ai/ ha led to significantly less pod damage (9.19%) and was on par to standard check i.e. cchlorantraniliprole 18.50SC @ 20 g ai/ ha (7.34%). Khinchi and Kumawat (2020) found chlorantraniliprole 18.5SC as the most effective @ 200 ml/ ha against *H. armigera* in pigeon pea. The yield obtained in chlorfenapyr 24%SC @ 288 g ai/ ha (11.58 q/ ha) and chlorantraniliprole 18.50SC @ 20 g ai/ ha (12.25q/ ha) were statistically at par with each other but were significantly superior than rest of the treatments. Khinchi and Kumawat (2020) observed maximum yield of 17.42 q/ ha with chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC @ 150 ml/ ha. Zhao et al. (2017) concluded that chlorfenapyr applied at 3.0, 6.0, or 12.0 kg ai/ ha had significantly decreased the number of *Bradysia odoriphaga*, major pest of Chinese chive. Thus, chlorfenapyr 24%SC @ 288 g ai/ ha and chlorantraniliprole 18.50SC @ 20 g ai/ ha can be recommended against *H. armigera* in pigeon pea.

REFERENCES

- Abbott W S. 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 18: 265-267
- Anonymous. 2019. Agricultural statistics at a glance. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture. Directorate of Economics and Statistics. <http://eands.dacnet.nic.in>
- Darabian K, Yarahmadi F. 2017. Field Efficacy of Azadirachtin, Chlorfenapyr, and *Bacillus thuringiensis* against *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on Sugar Beet Crop. *Journal of Entomological Research Society* 19(3): 45-52
- Khinchi S K, Kumawat K C. 2020. Bioefficacy of Chlorantraniliprole 18.5 SC Against Pod Borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) and Pod Fly, *Melanagromyza obtusa* (Malloch) in Pigeonpea, *Cajanus cajan* (Linn.) Millsp. *Legume Research LR-4384*.
- Liu T X, Alton N, Sparks J R, Wen C, Liang G M, Brister C. 2002. Toxicity, persistence, and efficacy of indoxacarb on cabbage looper (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on cabbage. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 95(2): 360-367.
- Ngufor C, Critchley J, Fagbohoun J, N'Guessan R, Todjinou D, Rowland M. 2016. Chlorfenapyr (a pyrrole insecticide) applied alone or as a mixture with alpha-cypermethrin for indoor residual spraying against pyrethroid resistant *Anopheles gambiae* sl: An experimental hut study in Cove, Benin. *Plos One* 11(9): e0162210.
- Patel R D, Parmar V R, Patel N B. 2016. Bio-efficacy of Chlorantraniliprole 35 WG against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) Hardwick in tomato. *Trends in Biosciences* 9(15): 793-798
- Sahoo B K, Senapati B. 2000. Determination of economic thresholds for pod borer complex in pigeonpea. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection* 28: 176-179.
- Zhao Y, Wang Q, Wang Y, Zhang Z, Wei Y, Liu F, Zhou C, Mu W. 2017. Chlorfenapyr, a potent alternative insecticide of phoxim to control *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae). *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry* 26 65(29): 5908-5915.

(Manuscript Received: March, 2021; Revised: August, 2021;
Accepted: September, 2021; Online Published: November, 2021)
Online published (Preview) in www.entosocindia.org Ref. No. e21064